Emergency medical services (EMS) of the future will be community-based health management that is fully integrated with the overall health care system. It will have the ability to identify and modify illness and injury risks, provide acute illness and injury care and follow-up, and contribute to treatment of chronic conditions and community health monitoring. This new entity will be developed from redistribution of existing health care resources and will be integrated with other health care providers and public health and public safety agencies. It will improve community health and result in more appropriate use of acute health care resources. EMS will remain the public’s emergency medical safety net.
Our nation’s health care system is in flux. Efforts to reduce cost and improve the effectiveness of health care are leading to fundamental changes in the way the public accesses—and pays for—medical treatment. Recognizing the skills and strengths of prehospital professionals and the importance of superior EMS care, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and the Health Resources and Services Administration joined with leaders from the EMS community in 1996 to create a strategic plan for building the next millennium’s EMS system.

The EMS Agenda for the Future envisions EMS as the linchpin joining today’s isolated public safety, health care and public health systems. While emergency response must remain our foundation, EMS of tomorrow will be a community-based health management system that provides surveillance, identification, intervention and evaluation of injury and disease. This role strengthens the essential value of EMS as the community’s emergency medical safety net.

The EMS community has again come together to develop the EMS Agenda for the Future: Implementation Guide. It focuses on three strategies for realizing our vision. We need to build bridges between EMS and other components of the community health care system; we need to create infrastructure that supports streamlined public access and rapid delivery of emergency care; and we need to develop new tools and resources. All too often, we travel no deeper than broad generalities, but I am proud to say that inside you will find the concrete steps we must take to build the 21st Century’s EMS system.

We now have a common vision, a common goal. If the EMS Agenda is our destination, then the Implementation Guide will be our roadmap. Together, we can strengthen the nation’s emergency medical safety net.
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The EMS Agenda for the Future provides an opportunity to examine what has been learned during America’s EMS experience of the past three decades, and create a vision for the future. This opportunity comes at an important time, when those agencies, organizations and individuals that affect EMS are evaluating its role in the context of a changing health care system.

The EMS Agenda for the Future proposes a vision for the future of EMS. EMS of the future will be community-based health management that is integrated with the overall health care system. It will have the ability to identify and modify illness and injury risks, provide acute illness and injury follow-up, and contribute to the treatment of chronic conditions and community health monitoring. EMS will be integrated with other health care providers and public health and public safety agencies. It will improve community health and result in more appropriate use of acute health care resources. EMS will remain the public’s emergency medical safety net.

To realize this vision, continued development of 14 EMS attributes is required. They are:

- Integration of Health Services
- EMS Research
- Legislation and Regulation
- System Finance
- Human Resources
- Medical Direction
- Education Systems
- Public Education
- Prevention
- Public Access
- Communication Systems
- Clinical Care
- Information Systems
- Evaluation

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, in partnership with the Maternal and Child Health Bureau, Health Resources and Services Administration, commissioned development of The EMS Agenda for the Future: Implementation Guide. Its purpose is to propose objectives that will lead to achieving the goals established by the EMS Agenda for the Future: Implementation Guide objectives correspond to the three broad areas in which diligent efforts must be continued. They are building bridges, creating tools and resources, and developing infrastructure. Bridges will strengthen partnerships and result in new and enhanced relationships among the many agencies, organizations and individuals with a stake in the future of EMS. These partnerships must seek diversified perspectives and invite enthusiastic participation if barriers on the path to the future are to be overcome. New tools and resources will enable progress and facilitate activities on widespread bases. Improved infrastructure will add to the capacity of EMS to affect community health.

Venturing toward the future vision for EMS is an ambitious undertaking. Activities must be initiated on national, state and local levels. Ten “priority objectives” (short, intermediate and long-term) are proposed to be the initial foci for all
The EMS Agenda for the Future: Implementation Guide is intended to be a tool for EMS providers, administrators and medical directors; health care providers, administrators and payers; public health and safety officials, local, state and federal government officials; organization and community leaders; and all other entities and people with a potential interest or influence on the structure or function of our nation’s system for providing emergency medical care. We all must be committed to an EMS system that is accessible, reliable, and that contributes to the health of our communities. The EMS Agenda for the Future: Implementation Guide is a call for action to join partnerships that will lead to the exploration of possibilities for the future of EMS—a crucial part of the health care system and the public’s emergency medical safety net.
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The *EMS Agenda for the Future* establishes a vision for the future of emergency medical services (EMS) in the United States. The document discusses 14 attributes, and notes that further work on their development is vital to the future of EMS. Although activity may be focused at a particular level, activity at another level(s) is certainly not precluded.

- **Summary statement**—The overall intent of the objectives is described, and correlates with the “How to Get There” statements in the Agenda. However, the summaries differ from the Agenda because they often represent more than one goal, correspond to more than one EMS attribute, and reflect further refinement of important concepts.

- **Short-term objective**—Short-term objectives describe activities to be completed within one to three years. The activity may already be ongoing or even completed in some locations, but still requires initiation in most others.

- **Intermediate-term objective**—Activities to be completed in approximately two to five years are described as intermediate objectives.

- **Long-term objective**—Activities believed to require more than three years to initiate and complete are described as long term objectives. The terms short, intermediate and long term are meant to provide guidance and a broad time...
frame to prompt action. They are not meant to be overly restrictive. For example, work toward an intermediate objective might commence prior to meeting the corresponding short-term objective. However, initiation of short-term activity will generally precede the intermediate activity, as is also the case for intermediate and long-term objectives. More constraining or exact time frames are avoided in recognition of the diversity of EMS systems and the communities they serve. The ability to muster resources and commence work toward meeting individual objectives will vary across the nation.

- Potential lead participants—Agencies, organizations and groups of individuals are suggested for assuming lead roles in developing the partnerships and conducting the activities necessary to meet the stated objectives. The participants are listed alphabetically.

- Potential contributing participants—Agencies, organizations and groups of individuals are suggested for joining the partnerships and activities necessary to meet the objectives. They are listed alphabetically. The lists of potential participants are not meant to be exclusive. For example, an organization that is indicated as a potential contributing participant might find itself with the interest and resources to take the lead on a particular issue. This is encouraged. Similarly, an agency may have an interest in an area for which it was not suggested as a participant. The variety of potential participants is extraordinary; it must be if EMS is to realize its potential. Undoubtedly, there are possibilities for partnerships that are not described here. However, that does not mean they should not be pursued with interest and vigor. The lists of potential participants are calls to action intended to promote thought, discussion, involvement and the exploration of possibilities.

- Vignette—The vignettes that accompany most of the objectives are meant to be illustrative and thought provoking. By themselves, they are not intended to advocate particular action or terminology.

Implementation Guide objectives correspond to three broad themes: developing partnerships, creating tools and resources, and building infrastructure. Developing partnerships will result in new and enhanced relationships among organizations, agencies and
individuals. Partnerships can create synergy to effect change, which is necessary to better integrate EMS with other health services, improve its ability to affect community health, and ensure that its critical role in the health care system is fulfilled.

Creation of tools and resources facilitates the initiation of additional action on a widespread basis. Resources can be used to improve information, financial, legislative, educational and other aspects of EMS.

EMS infrastructure includes many aspects of the system, such as the workforce, communication systems. It provides the capacity to produce desired community-wide outcomes. Therefore, building infrastructure is crucial to the future of EMS if it is to realize its potential in the care of America’s communities.

“Priority objectives” include objectives from each of the three themes. They are objectives that deserve the earliest attention because they best fulfill the following criteria:

- They will significantly improve local EMS systems’ abilities to serve their communities.
- They address an important and pressing problem or need for which early action is desirable.
- They lead to direct and positive influences on patient outcomes or community health, thus enhancing the effects of EMS;
- They affect the greatest number of people, including EMS personnel and community members.
- They are achievable with the resources currently available.

The EMS Agenda for the Future: Implementation Guide is a tool for EMS providers, administrators and medical directors; health care providers, administrators and payers; public health and safety officials; local, state and federal governmental officials; organization and community leaders; and any other entity or person with a potential interest or influence on the structure or function of the nation’s system for providing emergency medical care. Every one is urged to review the objectives proposed here, and identify those for which they might play a role. Organizations, agencies and individuals should study the “priority” objectives to determine how they can help to achieve them. The index of potential participants and their corresponding objectives (Appendix B) may be useful for identifying other objectives on which particular groups might focus. Organizations, agencies and interested individuals should determine those objectives for which they are suited and prepared to begin work. They should then initiate or join the partnerships that will lead to the exploration and achievement of a vision for the future of EMS in America.
The best way to predict the future is to create it.

—Peter Drucker

The organizations, agencies and individuals that affect the nation’s emergency medical services (EMS) system stand at a critical point. For, through their collective efforts it is they who hold the ability and responsibility to create the future of EMS. Participation at local, state and national levels is crucial if EMS is to realize its potential role in caring for the health of America’s communities.

Creating the future for EMS is not a simple prospect, but it is critically important. It will require diligent efforts by those who have the resources and capabilities to influence any aspect of the EMS system. It will require changes in the perceived relationship between EMS and other efforts to improve the health of our communities.

Change does not come easy. The need must be recognized, and there must be a vision to help indicate where change will lead. The EMS Agenda for the Future identifies the need and offers a vision for the future of EMS that emphasizes its critical role in health care and as the public’s emergency medical safety net. The next step toward change is exploration of possible strategies to reach the desired results. The Implementation Guide serves as a tool for such exploration.

The path to the future will undoubtedly include barriers. Among them may be a failure to recognize a desirable change, an inadequate exploration of possibilities or a lack of important participation. New and creative partnerships will be required to overcome these barriers. Some partners may seem logical based upon their current participation in EMS affairs. Others might be found in unlikely places of the health care system, education system, community organizations and agencies, or industry, for example. Partnerships must be inclusive. They must seek diversified perspectives and invite enthusiastic participation. The job of making communities healthier is shared by many. Similarly, the venture to create the future of EMS cannot be done in isolation. It must involve the innumerable agencies, organizations, individuals and interests with which EMS interfaces.

Achieving the vision for the future of EMS will be complex. It is simplified by establishing objectives that lead to the desired result. Yet, work toward meeting all objectives will be an ambitious undertaking. Therefore, it is imperative that all those with a stake in the future of EMS participate and act deliberately. The EMS Agenda for the Future: Implementation Guide is a call for action. EMS organizations, agencies and individuals, and all those who have the potential to affect the EMS system at local, state and national levels must initiate and join partnerships that will create synergy. The spectrum of possibilities for creating the future of EMS can then be explored, so that results are achieved.
LOCAL ACTION:
Develop collaborative strategies to identify and address community health and safety issues.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Develop relationships between EMS agencies and other public/community health and safety organizations to identify community health and safety issues.

Intermediate Term
Use meetings and publications to disseminate information regarding successful strategies, projects and programs that address community health and safety issues and incorporate EMS participation.

Long Term
Ensure active collaboration among EMS agencies at local, state and national levels and public/community health and safety agencies and organizations in efforts to improve community health.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS provider agencies, public/community health and safety agencies.

Contributing: AAA, AARP, ACEP, ACS-COT, ANA, APHA, ASTHO, emergency physicians, EMS medical directors, FEMA, health care networks, health plans, IAFC, IAFF, NACCHO, NAEMSE, NAEMSP, NAEMT, NASEMSD, NFA, NRHA, social service agencies, STIPDA.
NATIONAL AND LOCAL ACTION:
Align the financial incentives of EMS and other health care providers and payers.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Initiate collaborative relationships between EMS provider agencies and other health care providers and payers.

Intermediate Term
Develop models for financial relationships between EMS and health care payers in urban, suburban, and rural communities.

Long Term
Implement pilot projects, involving collaboration of EMS and other health care providers and payers, that align financial incentives and improve the effectiveness and efficiency of efforts to address communities’ emergency health care needs.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS provider agencies, health care networks.

Contributing: AAA, AAHP, AAPPO, ACEP, AhA, colleges and universities, EMS researchers, HCFA, health care insurers, hospitals, IAFC, IAFF, local governments, NAEMSE, NAEMSP, NASEMSD, NCQA, state EMS lead agencies.

Saltville EMS administration establishes a dialogue with Healthy Folks Network. They discuss a model relationship that was proposed at a recent conference, and decide to develop a pilot project utilizing this approach. Parts of the project involve more prevention-related activities by EMS, expanded options for transportation destinations, facilitation of patient follow-up, and payment that is not dependent on transportation. The project also involves continuous assessments of EMS effectiveness and quality. The project’s continuation depends on the results of regular systematic reviews.
NATIONAL, STATE AND LOCAL ACTION: Participate in community-based prevention efforts.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Educate EMS provider agencies about the Safe Communities concepts, and identify possible community-based, prevention-oriented partnerships.

Intermediate Term
Collaborate with community agencies, organizations and health care providers to identify community prevention needs and the potential roles of EMS.

Long Term
Develop the resources necessary to support continuous EMS participation in community-based illness and injury prevention efforts.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS medical directors, EMS provider agencies, HRSA/MCHB, NHTSA.

Contributing: AAA, AAP, ACEP, ACS, AHA, ATS, CDC, ENA, ENCare, governor’s highway safety agencies, IAFC, IAFF, NACCHO, NAEMSE, NAEMSP, NAEMT, NASEMSD, NSC, NCSEMSCC, NFA, NHAAP, NRHA, public health departments, state EMS lead agencies, STIPDA, USFA.
NATIONAL ACTION:
Develop and pursue a national EMS research agenda.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Develop processes to establish a national EMS research agenda, uniform reporting styles, and standard outcome measurements.

Intermediate Term
Establish a national EMS research agenda, guidelines for uniform reporting styles, and standard outcome measurements.

Long Term
Use conferences and publications to disseminate a national EMS research agenda, guidelines for reporting research results, and information about standard outcomes measurements.

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), Maternal and Child Health Bureau (MCHB), and the Agency for Health Care Policy and Research (AHCPR), fund several meetings to develop a national EMS research agenda by organizational representatives. Standard outcome measurements and uniform reporting styles are also established. Subsequent federal funding for EMS research is directed toward agenda issues. This compels the use of standard outcome measurements and uniform reporting styles. The quality and comparability of EMS research increases.

Potential Participants

Lead: AHCPR, HRSA/MCHB, NAEMSP, NHTSA, SAEM.

Contributing: AAA, AACEM, AAMC, AAP, ACEP, ACS-COT, AHA, ANA, APHA, ATS, CDC, EMS researchers, IAFC, IAFF, NAEMSE, NASEMSD, NFA, public health schools, USFA.
NATIONAL AND STATE ACTION:
Pass EMS legislation that enables each state to support innovation and integration.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Develop model legislation and regulations that designate a state EMS lead agency and support EMS innovation and integration.

Intermediate Term
Market model EMS legislation and regulations within each state.

Long Term
Pass legislation within each state that enables it to designate an EMS lead agency and is consistent with the EMS Agenda for the Future.

The National Association of State EMS Directors (NASEMSD) develops model state EMS legislation. The model is presented to the National Conference of State Legislatures (NCSL) and to state legislatures. Subsequently, the number of states with laws and regulations that support EMS innovation increases.

Potential Participants

Lead: NASEMSD, state EMS lead agencies, state legislatures.

Contributing: AAA, AAP, ACEP, ACS-COT, AHA, IAFC, IAFF, NAEMSP, NCSEMSTC, NCSL.
NATIONAL, STATE AND LOCAL ACTION:
Allocate adequate resources for medical direction.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Develop a multidisciplinary position paper with widespread endorsement, that provides guidelines for medical direction of EMS system activities.

Intermediate Term
Develop the resources and funding necessary to support appropriate EMS system medical direction.

Long Term
Require that all EMS provider agencies maintain a formal documented relationship with a qualified medical director.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS provider agencies, EMS medical directors, NAEMSP, NASEMSD, state EMS lead agencies.

Contributing: AAA, AAP, ACEP, ACS, ASTM, IAFC, IAFF, JRC, NAEMT, NEMSA, NFA, USFA.
NATIONAL, STATE AND LOCAL ACTION:
Develop information systems that link EMS across its continuum.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Develop information system plans as living documents that address the need to generate and transmit valid, reliable, and accurate data.

Intermediate Term
Plan to link EMS information systems with those of other health care providers, public safety agencies, and community resources, taking into consideration hardware and software compatibility, and confidentiality issues.

Long Term
Complete the installation and training necessary to establish an EMS data system with links between EMS systems and other health care agencies and providers to track and report system utilization, patient care and outcomes, and link EMS across its continuum.

Potential Participants

Lead: AMIA, EMS provider agencies, NHTSA, state EMS lead agencies.

Contributing: AAA, AHA, colleges and universities, EMS researchers, FEMA, health care networks, IAFC, NAC, NACCHO, NLC, public health agencies, public safety agencies, social service agencies, state departments of transportation, USFA.
NATIONAL AND LOCAL ACTION:
Determine the costs and benefits of EMS to the community.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Determine the cost of providing communities with EMS.

Intermediate Term
Develop models for estimating the quantitative and qualitative benefits of EMS for communities.

Long Term
Determine and continually monitor the value EMS adds to the community’s health care.

Mason County EMS begins the process of determining its value to the community. First it calculated its associated costs, using a model developed by a national working group. It uses similar models to continuously monitor its effect in the community, placing the identified costs into context.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS medical directors, EMS provider agencies.

Contributing: AAA, ACEP, AHA, AHCPR, APHA, ATS, CDC, colleges and universities, EMS researchers, HRSA/MCHB, IAFC, IAFF, NAEMSP, NASEMSD, NHAAP, NHTSA, SAEM, USFA.
NATIONAL, STATE AND LOCAL ACTION:
Ensure nationwide availability of 9-1-1 as the emergency telephone number.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Continue to collect and disseminate information about the extent of nationwide 9-1-1 coverage, and identify and work to eliminate barriers to its use.

Intermediate Term
Promulgate laws or regulations that will ensure the availability of 9-1-1 to those who cannot afford routine telephone service.

Long Term
Educate consumers of the availability of 9-1-1, despite their possible inability to afford routine telephone service.

Potential Participants
Lead: CTIA, NASEMSD, NENA, public utility commissions, state legislatures, telephone companies.

Contributing: AAA, AAP, AARP, ACEP, ACS, AHA, APCO, CFSI, EMS provider agencies, IAFC, IAFF, NAC, NAEMSP, NAEMT, National Governors’ Council, National Native American EMS Association, NHAAP, NLC, NRHA.
STATE AND LOCAL ACTION:
Ensure that all calls for emergency help are automatically accompanied by location-identifying information.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Educate state legislators and state and local government officials about the importance of addressing systems, including in rural communities.

Intermediate Term
Mandate, by statutes or regulations, that all residences, businesses, established public places, and permanent telephone locations must have assigned addresses.

Long Term
Employ automatic number identification and automatic location identification technology at all public safety answering points.

Potential Participants

Lead: APCO, CTIA, NENA, public safety answering points.

Contributing: AAA, FEMA, HRSA/MCHB, IAFC, IAFF, local governments, NAC, NAEMSP, NAEMT, NASEMSD, NHTSA, public utility commissions, state legislatures.

During the past year, Springer County ensured that all residences, businesses, and public places were assigned locatable addresses. In July, an out-of-state motorist stopped at a park along the county’s scenic roadway. Moments later, she witnessed a car strike a pedestrian in the parking area. Even though she could not accurately describe her location when she called 9-1-1 from her wireless telephone, the caller’s location was displayed on the screen of the answering point. The appropriate response was immediately put into motion.
LOCAL, STATE, NATIONAL ACTION:
Identify and meet community health-related data collection needs.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Enhance relationships between national, state and local EMS agencies and other public/community health and safety agencies, organizations and governmental bodies in order to identify data needs.

Intermediate Term
Develop collaborative relationships among EMS agencies, health agencies and organizations, governmental bodies, corporations and educational institutions to develop tools that enable collection, analysis and dissemination of community health information.

Long Term
Ensure active participation of EMS agencies in community health monitoring activities by collecting and sharing important information with other public/community health and safety agencies and organizations, and governmental bodies.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS provider agencies, public/community health and safety agencies.

Contributing: AAA, ACEP, APHA, CDC, city and county councils/commissions, colleges and universities, EMS lead agencies (national, state, and local), EMS medical directors, health plans, hospital associations, IAFC, IAFF, NACCHO, NAEMSP, NASEMSD, NCSEMSTC, NIH, NRHA, state departments of transportation, USFA.

Bluesberg EMS calls the local public health department to explore possible data sharing projects. Using a national data dictionary, a data collection tool is developed to improve their ability to evaluate the circumstances of EMS patients who are not transported, and do not generate another form of health care record. Data analysis reveals that most patients are from a few neighborhoods. This leads to further evaluation and education programs.
STATE AND LOCAL ACTION:
Develop cooperative relationships with other community health care providers and insurers.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Facilitate creation of local liaisons between EMS provider agencies and health care networks and insurers, by providing the EMS provider agencies with demographic information about the networks and insurers in their areas, and the names of their medical directors and managers.

Intermediate Term
Establish and maintain open communication, in order to develop mutual understandings of important issues, between EMS agencies and the health care networks, insurers, and providers in their areas.

Long Term
Involve EMS in collaborative efforts to address the particular needs of specific health care networks and insurers and other members of the community.

The state EMS lead agency provides Belleview EMS with a profile of area health care networks and insurers. Some of the information was obtained from the Charles Singer company. Representatives from Belleview EMS and prominent health care networks in their community meet. Together, they develop guidelines for EMS utilization that are distributed throughout the community. They also develop communications avenues for EMS to convey information about encounters with the networks' members and facilitate appropriate follow-ups.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS provider agencies, health care networks, state EMS lead agencies.

Contributing: AAHP, ACEP, ANA, employers, EMS medical directors, HCFA, hospitals, NAEMSP, NASEMSD, physician organizations, state insurance commissions.
NATIONAL, STATE AND LOCAL ACTION:
Integrate the goals and activities of EMS and health care networks.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Enhance efforts by EMS lead agencies and state and national associations to educate EMS provider agencies about the health care system, and to educate insurers and other health care providers about the current and potential roles of EMS.

Intermediate Term
Incorporate EMS into health care networks’ plans for their members’ emergency needs, and improve the understanding, regarding complementary roles in caring for the community, among EMS, health care networks, and insurance managers.

Long Term
Provide forums for the development and dissemination of models that integrate the goals and activities of health care networks and EMS systems through conferences and publications.

Potential Participants

Lead: Health care networks, NAEMSP, state EMS lead agencies.

Contributing: AAA, AAHP, AAP, ACEP, ACS-COT, AHA, ASTHO, EMS medical directors, EMS provider agencies, EMS providers, HCFA, HIAA, HRSA, IAFC, IAFF, NASEMSD, NCQA, NHTSA.
NATIONAL, STATE AND LOCAL ACTION:
Improve EMS care for patients with special needs.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Identify those who care for patients with special needs (e.g., dependent on medical devices, children, geriatric, hemophiliac, HIV-infected and others) and associated community-based organizations, and develop relationships between them and EMS provider agencies.

Intermediate Term
Collect and analyze data regarding EMS utilization by patients with special care needs, and continually review and update pertinent policies and protocols.

Long Term
Share information among EMS agencies, other health care providers and community agencies and groups to facilitate enhanced coordination of efforts to care for those community members with special needs.

Potential Participants

Lead: Community groups, EMS medical directors, EMS provider agencies, state EMS lead agencies.

Contributing: AAP, AARP, Association of Maternal and Child Health Programs, ACS, ANA, consumer representatives, EMS-C, EMS-G, hospices, hospitals, local and state medical societies, MCHB, NCSEMSTC, NHTSA, physicians, public health agencies, social service agencies, USFA, visiting nurse agencies.

Winslow EMS representatives meet with parent groups at five elementary schools, where they have often responded for children with asthma exacerbations. EMS subsequently participates in efforts by the parents, school officials, pediatricians, and emergency department staff to improve care for the affected children. These efforts include improved assessment skills in order for EMS providers to make better decisions regarding treatment and transport and referral options.
STATE AND LOCAL ACTION:
Enhance collaborative relationships between EMS and other community agencies.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Identify community agencies and organizations, including their missions and structures, with which EMS might collaborate to improve communications and subsequent follow-up by referral services.

Intermediate Term
Use conferences and publications to disseminate information about model relationships between EMS provider agencies and other community agencies and organizations, and how these relationships affect the EMS contribution to community health.

Long Term
Collaborate with other community agencies and organizations to improve community health, and implement referral programs for EMS patients.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS provider agencies, public health agencies, social service agencies.

NATIONAL, STATE, AND LOCAL ACTION:
Ensure that human subjects are protected
during EMS-related research.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Develop and conduct educational programs
regarding informed consent rules and institu­tional review board requirements as they pertain
to EMS-related research involving human
subjects.

Intermediate Term
Establish relationships between EMS agencies
that conduct research and functioning institu­tional review boards, to facilitate appropriate
scrutiny
of proposed research projects and ensure the
protection of human subjects.

Long Term
Convene regular meetings of EMS researchers,
ethicists, and representatives of appropriate
federal agencies as part of the continuous eval­uation of emergency informed consent processes
and protection of human subjects.

Potential Participants

Lead: ACEP, NAEMSP, NASEMSD, SAEM.

Contributing:
AAA, AAP, ACS-COT, AHA, colleges and universities,
DHHS, EMS provider agencies, EMS researchers, FDA,
IAFC, IAFF, medical schools, NAEMSE, NAEMT,
NCSEMSTC, NIH, NFA, state EMS lead agencies, USFA.
STATE AND LOCAL ACTION:
Develop collaborative endeavors between EMS systems and academic institutions.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Identify appropriate academic centers with which to establish EMS research and educational liaisons.

Intermediate Term
Establish continual research and educational liaisons among all EMS provider agencies and appropriate academic institutions.

Long Term
Conduct meaningful EMS-related research and improve EMS provider education opportunities through collaboration between EMS systems and academic institutions.

The Tri-County EMS Council, representing 14 EMS provider agencies, establishes liaisons with four area colleges, the regional teaching hospital, and the state university. Representatives from all the institutions and agencies meet regularly as a research council. Sharing their research and fundraising expertise and resources, they generate grant proposals. The council is currently conducting three extramurally funded research projects in the areas of cardiac resuscitation, trauma epidemiology, and community health surveillance.

Potential Participants

Lead: Academic hospitals, colleges and universities, EMS provider agencies.

Contributing: AACEM, AAMC, AAUAP, ASPH, EMS education programs, EMS medical directors, research funding organizations, SAEM, state EMS lead agencies.
NATIONAL, STATE AND LOCAL ACTION:
Provide academically accredited EMS education that employs innovative technology.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Identify the resources needed to provide alternatives to conventional EMS education.

Intermediate Term
Offer and evaluate various education formats, including distance and interactive self-learning, by applying existing and developing technology.

Long Term
Collaborate with academic institutions so that EMS education is recognized as an academic achievement, resulting in academic credit, and require such partnerships as a condition of accreditation.

Jay Walker lives in rural Pennsylvania. He wants to become a paramedic, although he is not near any EMS education program. He enrolls in a program that requires him to attend video conferences, and work at home via computer. He spends one week per month at the program’s base in the city, where he acquires laboratory and clinical experience. At the end of the program his time in the city is increased. When Jay graduates he can transfer his academic credits to the state university, and continue his education while working part-time as a paramedic.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS education programs, JRC, NAEMSE, NCSEMSTC.

Contributing: AAMS, AAOS, AAP, ACEP, ACS, colleges and universities, ENA, Fire and Emergency Television Network, IAFC, IAFF, NAEMSP, NAEMT, NASEMSD, NFA, NHTSA, NREMT, publishers, SAEM.
NATIONAL ACTION:
Develop education bridging programs.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Identify core elements that are common to various health professionals’ education.

Intermediate Term
Incorporate common relevant features of other health professionals’ curricula core contents into EMS education core contents.

Long Term
Collaborate with health professional education programs to develop bridging programs to help individuals earn additional credentials, or move from one discipline to another.

Potential Participants

Lead: NAEMSE, NCSEMSTC, NREMT.

Contributing:
AAOS, AAP, ACS, CAAHEP, colleges and universities, EMS education programs, ENA, IAFC, IAFF, JRC, NAEMSP, NASEMSD, NHTSA, NFA.
LOCAL ACTION:
Develop collaborative efforts with academic institutions.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Identify academic institutions with which EMS systems and EMS education programs can establish liaisons.

Intermediate Term
Establish liaisons among academic institutions, EMS systems and EMS education programs.

Long Term
Develop collaborative efforts in areas such as education, management training, and research among academic institutions, EMS systems, and EMS education programs.

Bingham EMS initiates a meeting with representatives of local EMS education programs and the state university. Mutual areas of interest are identified. Subsequently, a plan is developed to implement educational and research endeavors that expand educational opportunities, enhance professional development, and aid EMS research.

Potential Participants

Lead: Colleges and universities, EMS education programs, EMS provider agencies.

Contributing: AAA, AACEM, AAUAP, ASPH, CAAHEP, NAEMSE, NFA, state EMS lead agencies.
LOCAL ACTION:
Create public education-oriented community partnerships.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Identify community organizations interested in or currently providing public education in EMS-related issues.

Intermediate Term
Develop partnerships that include community members to determine the public’s educational needs regarding its role in prevention and as EMS system clients, bystanders at emergency scenes, and EMS consumers.

Long Term
Develop community health improvement partnerships that include EMS and focus on implementing public education programs.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS provider agencies, state EMS lead agencies.

Contributing: ACEP, AHA, APHA, ARC, community groups, governor’s highway safety agencies, health care insurers, health care networks, hospitals, IAFC, IAFF, medical societies, NSC, public health agencies, social services agencies.

Bailey EMS finds its response in its largely rural area is hampered by inadequate address postings not easily seen from the street. EMS system representatives meet with other public safety officials, civic groups, and major employers. Over a two-month period they evaluate the problem and implement strategies to correct it. The work of the partnership, all participating in a community-wide education project, results in well-posted address signs at 100% of area residences and businesses.
Creating Tools and Resources
NATIONAL ACTION:
Identify and develop sources for EMS-related research funding.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Identify potential private and government funding sources for EMS research.

Intermediate Term
Develop and distribute a catalogue of potential EMS research funding sources, including profiles of pertinent agencies, organizations, and foundations, in order to stimulate research grant applications.

Long Term
Advocate for increased state and federal appropriations for health care research related to EMS issues.

Potential Participants

Lead: ACEP, HRSA, NAEMSP, NHTSA, SAEM.

Contributing: AAA, AAP, ACS-COT, AHA, AHCRP, CFSI, corporations, DHHS, ENA, foundations, HRSA/MCHB, IAFC, IAFF, medical device manufacturers, medical schools, NAEMSE, NAEMT, NASEMSD, NIH, physician organizations, USFA.
NATIONAL ACTION:
Enhance coordination of the federal government’s emergency health care research efforts.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Develop a clearinghouse for information about federal EMS research assistance.

Intermediate Term
Identify an agency or mechanism to coordinate federal government EMS research assistance.

Long Term
Designate an entity, such as a foundation, institute, agency or commission, to have responsibility for steering the federal government’s emergency health care research efforts.

Potential Participants

Lead: AHCPR, HRSA, NHTSA.

Contributing: AAA, AAP, ACEP, ACS, AHA, FEMA, FICEMS, IAFC, IAFF, MCHB, NAEMSE, NAEMSP, NAEMT, NASEMSD, NEMSA, NIH, NFA, SAEM, US Congress, USFA.
NATIONAL AND LOCAL ACTION:
Increase the number of physicians dedicated to EMS research.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Emphasize research within the curricula of EMS fellowship programs.

Intermediate Term
Expand the number of funded EMS fellowship programs.

Long Term
Cultivate individuals dedicated to EMS research.

Potential Participants

Lead: ACEP, EMS fellowship directors, NAEMSP, SAEM.

Contributing: AACEM, AAP, ABEM, CORD.
NATIONAL AND LOCAL ACTION:
Cultivate EMS research within academic programs.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Include EMS research as a component of academic programs’ research agendas.

Intermediate Term
Develop and implement well-designed EMS research studies, including multi-center trials, which address national health care issues.

Long Term
Secure funding to support EMS research centers.

Potential Participants

Lead: AACEM, ACEP, NAEMSP, SAEM.

Contributing:
AHCPR, colleges and universities, CORD, departments of emergency medicine, EMS fellowships, EMS provider agencies, EMS researchers, NAEMSE.
STATE ACTION:
Enhance the technical assistance provided by state EMS lead agencies.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Establish technical assistance standards, consistent with the *EMS Agenda for the Future*, for state EMS lead agencies, to be evaluated during the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration’s (NHTSA) state EMS assessments.

Intermediate Term
Disseminate information regarding successful EMS technical assistance projects and programs conducted by various states.

Long Term
Provide state EMS lead agencies with the authority and resources to perform technical assistance.

Potential Participants

**Lead:** NASEMSD, NHTSA, state EMS lead agencies, state legislatures.

**Contributing:** ACEP, EMS provider agencies, NAEMSP, NCSL.
STATE AND LOCAL ACTION:
Reduce EMS providers’ risk of liability.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Identify unusual situations that place EMS providers at higher liability risk.

Intermediate Term
Develop strategies to reduce EMS providers’ risk of liability, possibly including the development of model legislation that affords them limited protection.

Long Term
Implement programs, possibly including legislation, that reduce EMS providers’ risk of liability.

Avondale EMS providers treat a 16 year old, pregnant girl who is experiencing abdominal pain. She refuses to go to the hospital. The patient is a minor, and in this state she is not emancipated until she gives birth. However, no parents or guardians are available, and the patient cannot be convinced, short of using force, to be taken to a hospital. The EMS providers recognize this as a high-risk situation. They follow guidelines promulgated by the state EMS lead agency and the attorney general. Though the patient eventually has a sub-optimal outcome, EMS providers are protected from liability by having followed the established guidelines.

Potential Participants

Lead: NAEMT, NASEMSD.

Contributing:
AAA, ABA, ACEP, AHCL, American Medical-Legal Foundation, ENA, IAFC, IAFF, medical device manufacturers, NAEMSP, NCSL, NFA, physician organizations, state attorneys general, state legislatures.
STATE ACTION:
Eliminate barriers and improve appropriate use of EMS.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Educate local, state, and federal elected officials regarding the importance of eliminating barriers to emergency medical care access.

Intermediate Term
Pass state legislation or adopt regulations that eliminate financial barriers to appropriate and timely emergency care, including EMS.

Long Term
Educate community members about appropriate use of the EMS system, and other points of access to health care.

Potential Participants

Lead: ACEP, HRSA/MCHB, NASEMSD, NHTSA.

Contributing:
AAA, AAP, ACS, ATS, EMS provider agencies, EMS providers, ENA, health care insurers, IAFC, IAFF, NACCHO, NAEMSE, NAEMSP, NAEMT, NAHP, NCSL, NEMSA, NFA, physician organizations, SAEM, USFA.

Mary Hollis experiences a sudden onset of chest pain. Her husband summons EMS for help. The situation and the state’s “prudent layperson” law justify his actions. The law was passed after recommendations were made by the state EMS lead agency and several other EMS-interested organizations. Mary receives appropriate emergency care and is transported to a hospital affiliated with her health care network.
NATIONAL, STATE AND LOCAL ACTION: Ensure stable support for EMS infrastructure funding.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Develop a tool to inventory and assess the costs of EMS infrastructure components.

Intermediate Term
Assess the costs associated with providing an EMS infrastructure.

Long Term
Educate local, state, and federal government officials and their staff members about the importance of maintaining and improving an EMS infrastructure and the funding it requires.

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) collaborates with the National Association of State EMS Directors (NASEMSD) and other organizations to develop an EMS infrastructure inventory tool. The tool is distributed by state EMS lead agencies to help EMS systems determine their future needs, and help them find appropriate resources.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS provider agencies, NASEMSD, NHTSA, state EMS lead agencies.

Contributing:
AAA, APCO, ASPA, ASTHO, CFSI, FEMA, FICEMS, IAFC, IAFF, NEMA, NENA, USFA.
NATIONAL ACTION:
Develop mechanisms for recognizing health professionals with expertise in EMS.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Establish guidelines for creating EMS subspecialty credentials for registered nurses and other health care professionals.

INTERMEDIATE TERM
Develop EMS subspecialty credentials for registered nurses and other health care professionals.

Long Term
Provide processes for registered nurses and other health care professionals to become credentialed as specialists in EMS.

Potential Participants

Lead: ENA.

Contributing: AAP, AARC, ACEP, ACS, AMA, ANA, AUPHA, NABN, NAEMSP, NFNA.
NATIONAL AND STATE ACTION:
Ensure that EMS medical directors are qualified.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Develop a national core content for EMS medical director education.

Intermediate Term
Provide ample educational opportunities that include the medical director core content.

Long Term
Provide EMS medical directors credentials based on their fulfillment of national core content objectives.

The American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP) and the National Association of EMS Physicians (NAEMSP) jointly develop a core content for EMS medical director education. The state adopts the core content, requiring evidence of its fulfillment for all new EMS medical directors. Dr. Doug Kaman, who just completed a pediatric-emergency medicine residency, attends an educational program that meets the requirements. He can now receive credentials for an EMS medical director in the state.

Potential Participants

Lead: ACEP, NAEMSP, NHTSA

Contributing: AAP, ACS, HRSA/MCHB, NASEMSD, NFA, physician organizations, state EMS lead agencies.
NATIONAL ACTION:
Work to designate EMS as a physician subspecialty.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Continue to work to define the specific knowledge and expertise required of physicians who specialize in EMS.

Intermediate Term
Enable the American Board of Emergency Medicine (ABEM) to sponsor an EMS subspecialty.

Long Term
Petition the American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) to designate EMS as a physician subspecialty.

A multi-organization EMS Subspecialty Task Force continues to work to fulfill the requirements of the American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP), Society for Academic Emergency Medicine (SAEM), and National Association of EMS Physicians (NAEMSP) to support an EMS subspecialty status. ABEM ultimately petitions ABMS to designate EMS as a subspecialty. Other physician groups in addition to emergency physicians have the opportunity to co-sponsor the subspecialty board. Subsequently, greater numbers of physicians pursue EMS training, acquiring expertise in all of its facets.

Potential Participants

Lead: ABEM, ACEP, NAEMSP, SAEM.

Contributing: AAFP, AAP, ABMS, ACS, AMA, EMRA.
NATIONAL, STATE AND LOCAL ACTION:
Provide EMS education based on national core contents.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Commission the development of national EMS education core contents for EMS providers, consistent with the parameters established in the National EMS Education and Practice Blueprint.

Intermediate Term
Incorporate national core contents into EMS educational program curricula.

Long Term
Implement a process to regularly review the core content objectives to ensure they reflect the population’s dynamic health care needs and the needs of EMS providers.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS education programs, JRC, NAEMSE, NHTSA.

Contributing: AAMS, AAOS, AAP, ACEP, ACS, AHA, colleges and universities, ENA, IAFC, IAFF, NAEMSP, NAEMT, NASEMSE, NCSEMSTC, NFA, SAEM.
NATIONAL AND LOCAL ACTION:
Include research, quality improvement, and management-related objectives in EMS education.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Develop and provide EMS education that includes research, quality improvement, and management-related objectives.

Intermediate Term
Include research, quality improvement, and management-related objectives in the national EMS education core contents.

Long Term
Require accredited EMS educational programs to incorporate research, quality improvement, and management-related objectives, and include topic-related questions on credential examinations.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS education programs, JRC, NREMT.

Contributing: AAMS, AAOS, AAP, ACEP, ACS, colleges and universities, ENA, IAFC, IAFF, NAEMSE, NAEMSP, NAEMT, NASEMSD, NCSEMSTC, NFA, NHTSA, SAEM, USFA.
NATIONAL, STATE AND LOCAL ACTION: Advocate for prevention-focused legislation and regulations.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Inform EMS agencies and providers about legislative proposals that may affect illness or injury incidence or severity, or a community’s ability to access EMS care.

Intermediate Term
Engage in efforts to influence the course of legislative proposals that may affect the incidence or severity of illnesses or injuries, or a community’s ability to access EMS care.

Long Term
Develop and advocate for state and national EMS agendas for prevention-focused legislative and regulatory priorities.

Potential Participants

Lead: HRSA/MCHB, NHTSA, state EMS lead agencies.

Contributing: AAA, ACEP, ACS, AHCPR, ATS, CDC, EMS medical directors, EMS provider agencies, EMS providers, FEMA, IAFC, IAFF, NAEMSP, NAEMT, NASEMSD, NFA, NHAAP, NREMT, STIPDA, Trauma Coalition, USFA.

The state EMS council organizes a communication network to relay information about state legislative activities that might affect emergency health care. When legislation that would repeal motorcycle helmet laws is proposed, an effective response from the state’s EMS organizations and agencies is organized via the network. The state senate votes against the proposed legislation.
NATIONAL, STATE AND LOCAL ACTION:
Improve prevention-related data collection and sharing by EMS.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Collaborate with other community agencies, organizations and health care providers to determine how EMS might help fulfill their prevention-related data needs.

Intermediate Term
Develop model scene survey formats for risk assessments for various illnesses and injuries.

Long Term
Revise data collection tools, using evolving technologies and models, in order to improve prevention-related EMS data collection and sharing.

Metro EMS collaborates with the area Agency on Aging to arrange follow-up for some of its patients who are not transported. The Agency on Aging, however, lacks up-to-date information on the incidence of various injuries occurring in the home. Subsequently, Metro EMS completes a domicile risk analysis for every elderly patient not being transported, and shares that information with the Agency on Aging. The risk analysis format was adopted from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS provider agencies.

Contributing: AAA, AAP, ACEP, AHCPR, APHA, CDC, EMS medical directors, FEMA, health care networks, hospitals, HRSA/MCHB, IAFC, IAFF, medical societies, NAC, NAEMSP, NASEMSD, NSC, NFA, NHTSA, NLC, public health agencies, social service agencies, state EMS lead agencies, STIPDA, USFA.
NATIONAL AND LOCAL ACTION:
Maintain up-to-date EMS dispatching and communications standards.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Convene a multidisciplinary conference to develop consensus standards for EMS dispatching and communications.

Intermediate Term
Ensure that EMS communications centers provide appropriate medically-directed pre-arrival instructions to EMS callers.

Long Term
Evaluate and continually update nationwide EMS dispatching and communications standards.

The public safety answering point for Prescott County recently established a standard process for providing pre-arrival instructions to EMS callers. Within days a child was saved from drowning because the new procedure helped the call-taker provide pre-arrival care instructions to another child; who was the only other person at the scene.

Potential Participants

Lead: APCO, ASTM, NAEMD.

Contributing: AAP, ACS, AHA, ATS, EMS communications centers, EMS provider agencies, HRSA/MCHB, NAC, NAEMSE, NAEMSP, NASEMSD, NHAAP, NHTSA, NLC.
Creating Tools and Resources

EMS Agenda for the Future:
Communication Systems • EMS Research • Information Systems • Evaluation

NATIONAL ACTION:
Optimize EMS data collection.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Convene a multidisciplinary panel to consider potential primary and secondary uses for the data captured during EMS encounters.

Intermediate Term
Implement research and pilot projects to determine the value of specific data acquisition and real-time data transfer.

Long Term
Continuously evaluate the effects of real-time data transfer, including related costs, outcomes, and confidentiality issues.

South Anthony EMS is participating in a pilot project to transmit video images of patients to its receiving hospital. The project uses the procedure in specific circumstances, and it includes evaluation of the related costs and outcome benefits.

Potential Participants

Lead: NASEMSD, NHTSA.

Contributing: AAA, AAP, ACEP, ACS, AhA, AMIA, APCO, APHA, ATS, CDC, EMS provider agencies, FEMA, IAFC, IAFF, medical device manufacturers, NACCHO, NAEMSQP, NAEMSP, NAEMT, NEMSA, NRHA, SAEM.
NATIONAL, STATE AND LOCAL ACTION:
Increase the utilization of a uniform data element set within EMS information systems.

OBJECTIVES:

**Short Term**
Educate EMS managers about data and information systems through courses such as Emergency Medical Services Information Systems.

**Intermediate Term**
Incorporate uniform data elements and definitions into existing information systems.

**Long Term**
Review and periodically update the uniform pre-hospital data element set.

The Southern EMS Council is pursuing a venture to allow all its area EMS provider agencies to electronically record patient care information. As part of the plan, national uniform data elements are included in the information system. This process creates opportunities to educate EMS managers about EMS data and information systems.

Potential Participants

**Lead:** EMS provider agencies, NASEMSD, NHTSA.

**Contributing:** AAA, AAP, ACEP, ACS, AMIA, IAFC, NAEMSP, NAEMT, NFA, state EMS lead agencies, USFA.
STATE AND LOCAL ACTION:
Ensure that EMS information systems serve their purposes.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Determine the desired output before establishing an EMS information system.

Intermediate Term
Maintain the ability to query EMS information systems in order to maximize their potential usefulness.

Long Term
Provide reports to individuals who need information, including those who generate the system’s data, or enable them to query the EMS information system.

Jarvis EMS is developing a new information system to help manage data that are currently lost and cannot be analyzed. Among their first steps is the creation of a task force that includes representatives from other area health and public safety agencies, to help determine the desired output of the system and how information will be shared.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS provider agencies.

Contributing:
AMIA, ANA, FEMA, health care networks, hospitals, NAC, NACCHO, NLC, public health agencies, public safety agencies, social service agencies, state EMS lead agencies, USFA.
STATE AND LOCAL ACTION:
Evaluate EMS on a continuous basis.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Ensure that every EMS provider knows the importance of, and has basic knowledge about evaluation principles and technologies.

Intermediate Term
Develop and disseminate EMS evaluation models.

Long Term
Adapt EMS evaluation models at both the state and local level, and use them for continuous evaluation of EMS.

Renoma EMS is assessing its own evaluation processes. It subsequently adapts several evaluation models from the state EMS lead agency. These models are used as a basis for evaluating EMS providers and other aspects of the system. Evaluation results are used to develop continuing education programs and make system improvements.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS provider agencies, state EMS lead agencies.

Contributing:
AAA, ACEP, EMS medical directors, EMS providers, IAFC, IAFF, NAEMSE, NAEMSP, NAEMSQP, NAEMT, NASEMSD, NFA, NHTSA.
LOCAL ACTION:
Include the community in EMS evaluation.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Solicit community-based groups and other community members for EMS evaluation support.

Intermediate Term
Use evaluation techniques that incorporate community input, and provide the community with the evaluation results.

Long Term
Develop and distribute EMS report cards to communities.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS provider agencies.

Contributing: Community groups and organizations, EMS medical directors, medical societies, NAEMSQP, NASEMSD, NCQA, state EMS lead agencies.
NATIONAL AND STATE ACTION:
Educate government officials regarding EMS issues.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Enhance EMS awareness campaigns that are directed toward state legislators and U.S. Congress members.

Intermediate Term
Create and maintain a program to track federal EMS planning and development efforts.

Long Term
Organize a multidisciplinary task force to examine relevant EMS issues and make recommendations regarding federal EMS activities.

An annual meeting of representatives from federal agencies involved in EMS and EMS-interested organizations is established. On the agendas are issues of common interest, including the roles of federal leadership. Subsequently, a standing committee is convened to recommend ways in which government agencies might best fulfill these roles.

Potential Participants

Lead: HRSA/MCHB, NAEMSP, NASEMSD, NHTSA.

Contributing: AAA, AAP, ACEP, ACS, AHA, AHCPR, ATS, EMS provider agencies, ENA, IAFC, IAFF, NAEMSE, NAEMT, NCSEMSTC, NCSL, NFA, SAEM, state legislatures, US Congress, USFA.
NATIONAL ACTION:
Resolve conflicting EMS finance and reimbursement issues.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Promote awareness among government and health care finance officials regarding conflicting EMS finance and reimbursement issues.

Intermediate Term
Develop pilot programs for the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA) and Medicaid to reimburse EMS provider agencies on the bases of their response and medical treatment for perceived emergencies.

Long Term
Create a relative value reimbursement system to be used by HCFA and Medicaid to pay for EMS without requiring patient transportation.

Potential Participants

Lead: AAA, AAHP, HCFA, HIAA, IAFC.

Contributing: AAP, ACEP, ACS-COT, health care insurers, IAFF, NAEMSP, NASEMSD.
STATE AND LOCAL ACTION:
Ensure that EMS personnel are optimally prepared.

OBJECTIVES:

**Short Term**
Prepare EMS personnel for all changes in expectations of them to provide health services.

**Intermediate Term**
Identify the educational experiences and cultural sensitivity required by EMS personnel in order to meet the identified needs of the community.

**Long Term**
Continuously ensure that all EMS personnel possess the requisite education, skills, and cultural awareness to meet community needs.

Peach County EMS is planning to use its personnel to perform limited in-home health risk analyses during non-peak hours. Although personnel will be using standardized checklists, the administration and medical director invest a great deal of time anticipating the type of questions and ancillary tasks that might be requested of the EMS personnel, and cultural issues that might be factors during the home visits. Educational programs are then conducted to prepare the EMS personnel. They include lessons in the community’s culture taught by some of the neighborhood residents. When the health risk analyses begin, the EMS personnel are well prepared, and the feedback from the community is exceptional.

Potential Participants

**Lead:** EMS provider agencies, NAEMSE, NCSEMSTC.

**Contributing:** EMS education programs, EMS medical directors, EMS providers, IAFF, JRC, NAEMSP, NFA, state EMS lead agencies.
NATIONAL ACTION:
Update and adopt the *National EMS Education and Practice Blueprint*.

OBJECTIVES:

**Short Term**
Develop a system to regularly update the National EMS Education and Practice Blueprint and use it to promote consistency in the levels of EMS practice.

**Intermediate Term**
Ensure that EMS education core contents comply with National EMS Education and Practice Blueprint guidelines.

**Long Term**
Authorize the practice of EMS personnel based upon National EMS Education and Practice Blueprint parameters.

Potential Participants

**Lead:** JRC, NASEMSD, NHTSA, NREMT.

**Contributing:** ACEP, EMS education programs, NAEMSE, NAEMSP, NCSEMSTC, NFA, state EMS lead agencies, USFA.
NATIONAL ACTION:
Improve our understanding of EMS occupational health and safety hazards.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Identify psychological and physical EMS occupational health and safety hazards.

Intermediate Term
Collaborate with academic institutions and other interested experts to study occupational health and safety issues.

Long Term
Conduct further research about EMS occupational health and safety issues.

Potential Participants

Lead: IAFC, IAFF, NAEMT.

Contributing:
AAA, ACEP, APA, CDC, colleges and universities, EMS providers, EMS researchers, ENA, ICISF, NAEMSE, NAEMSP, NFA, NFNA, NFPA, OSHA, USFA, workmen’s compensation carriers.
LOCAL ACTION:
Increase the cultural sensitivity and diversity of the EMS workforce.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Identify cultural issues that affect EMS.

Intermediate Term
Implement programs that will enhance the cultural sensitivity of the EMS workforce.

Long Term
Implement strategies, such as increasing access to EMS educational programs, that expand the cultural diversity of the EMS workforce so that it is representative of the population it serves.

Caperton is a culturally diverse city, but its EMS workforce is not. Subsequent to a few problem cases, EMS administrators meet with representatives of several community groups. They identify several cultural issues that need to be addressed. The EMS workforce participates in an educational program that involves a culturally diverse group of community members. EMS providers also begin to attend civic meetings in neighborhoods other than their own. Greater visibility and accessibility of the EMS providers in different communities causes diverse young people to aspire to become EMS professionals.

Potential Participants

Lead: Community organizations, EMS medical directors, EMS provider agencies.

Contributing: AAA, ACEP, EMS providers, ENA, IAFC, IAFF, NAE MSP, NAEMT, NFA, NFNA, NFPA, NHTSA, state EMS lead agencies.
LOCAL ACTION:
Implement and evaluate stress management programs.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Develop and implement a plan for managing occupational stress among EMS personnel.

Intermediate Term
Educate EMS personnel about stress management.

Long Term
Evaluate and improve stress reduction and management programs.

Matson County EMS offers in-kind services to the community mental health agency in exchange for an assessment of stress indicators among EMS personnel. Several factors are identified as contributing to moderately high levels of stress. Over the ensuing year, system changes are made, and a plan for addressing critical incident stress is implemented. Education programs are conducted. Follow-up assessments reveal fewer stress indicators among EMS personnel.

Potential Participants

Lead: Community mental health providers, EMS provider agencies.

Contributing:
ACEP, APA, EMS medical directors, ENA, FEMA, IAFF, ICISF, NAEMSE, NAEMSP, NAEMT, NFA, NFNA, NFPA, state EMS lead agencies, USFA.
NATIONAL AND STATE ACTION:
Create a system for reciprocity of EMS provider credentials.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Develop consensus regarding the educational requirements for EMS providers at standard levels.

Intermediate Term
Standardize the mechanisms used to verify the competency of, and issue credentials to, EMS personnel.

Long Term
Eliminate legal barriers to intra- and interstate reciprocity of EMS provider credentials.

Potential Participants

Lead: NASEMSD, state EMS lead agencies.

Contributing: AAP, ACEP, ACS, JRC, NAEMSE, NAEMSP, NAEMT, NCSEMSTC, NFA, NHTSA, NREMT, state legislatures.
NATIONAL AND STATE ACTION:
Provide qualified contemporaneous EMS medical direction.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Determine the current local and state credential requirements for individuals who provide on-line (contemporaneous) medical direction.

Intermediate Term
Develop credential standards for on-line medical direction providers.

Long Term
Require appropriate credentials for all providers of on-line medical direction.

Potential Participants

Lead: NAEMSP, NASEMSD.

Contributing: AAP, ACEP, ACS-COT, EMS medical directors, EMS provider agencies, ENA, medical societies, NAEMT, state EMS lead agencies.

Bob Klein, MD is an internist who does locum tenens work. He moves to Maury for two months, where he will work in a clinic and the emergency department (ED). While at the ED, he will be required to provide on-line medical direction to EMS providers. Before he can do so, he must earn the credentials that ensure he is familiar with the state’s laws, the EMS provider capabilities, and the local protocols.
NATIONAL AND STATE ACTION:
Ensure that each state has a designated state EMS medical director.

OBJECTIVES:

**Short Term**
Develop a model state EMS medical director job description and position justification.

**Intermediate Term**
Disseminate information to state EMS lead agencies and legislators about potential funding alternatives for state EMS medical director positions.

**Long Term**
Establish the position of, and provide the funding for, an EMS medical director in each state.

The National Association of EMS Physicians (NAEMSP) and the National Association of State EMS Directors (NASEMSD) collaborate to develop a model state EMS medical director job description and position justification. They also investigate current funding strategies, and make alternative suggestions. The information is eventually disseminated and used to establish a state EMS medical director in each state.

Potential Participants

**Lead:** ACEP, NAEMSP, NASEMSD.

**Contributing:** NCSL, state EMS lead agencies, state legislatures.
STATE AND LOCAL ACTION:
Ensure that EMS providers are prepared to perform their required tasks.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Conduct local task analyses to determine the cognitive and technical skills required for EMS providers functioning at various levels and environments, including interfacility patient transfers.

Intermediate Term
Determine the structure and content of EMS educational programs, including initial and continuing education, and the appropriate staffing necessary to continuously meet the needs identified by local task analyses.

Long Term
Evaluate and update initial and continuing education programs and staffing complements in order to meet the needs identified through local task analyses, and ensure that secondary patient transfers are conducted using appropriate staff and medical direction.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS medical directors, EMS provider agencies.

Contributing: AAA, AAMS, AAOS, ACEP, ACS, AMPA, colleges and universities, EMS education programs, ENA, IAFC, IAFF, JRC, NAEMSE, NAEMSP, NAEMT, NASEMSD, NCSEMSTC, NFNA, NFPA, NREMT, state EMS lead agencies.
NATIONAL, STATE AND LOCAL ACTION:
Provide medical direction for EMS education.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Develop and disseminate a multi-disciplinary position paper that provides guidelines for the role medical direction plays in EMS educational programs.

Intermediate Term
Require EMS educational programs, including continuing education, to designate a medical director whose role is consistent with those described in a widely endorsed position paper.

Long Term
Ensure that the medical direction of EMS educational programs includes active participation during the planning, implementation, and evaluation stages.

A multidisciplinary group, comprised of representatives from several organizations, develops a position paper regarding the medical direction of EMS education. The paper is presented for endorsement by all EMS-interested organizations. EMS educational programs are encouraged to follow the established guidelines. Subsequently, the recognition and accreditation of EMS educational programs depend upon the inclusion of medical direction.

Potential Participants

Lead: ACEP, EMS education programs, NAEMSE, NAEMSP.

Contributing: AAMS, AAOS, AAP, ACS, colleges and universities, ENA, IAFC, IAFF, JRC, NAEMT, NASEMSD, NCSEMSTC, NFA, NREMT, SAEM, state EMS lead agencies.
NATIONAL ACTION:
Require EMS education programs to be accredited.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Evaluate the cost-effectiveness and other potential benefits of EMS educational programs being accredited by a national body.

Intermediate Term
Develop strategies to facilitate national accreditation of EMS educational programs.

Long Term
Adopt accreditation by a national body as the standard by which EMS educational programs are evaluated.

Potential Participants

Lead: JRC, NASEMSD.

Contributing: EMS education programs, NAEMSE, NCSEMSTC, NREMT.
NATIONAL AND LOCAL ACTION:
Develop EMS education bridging programs.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Develop pilot bridging educational programs that enable EMS providers to move from one provider level to the next.

Intermediate Term
Evaluate alternative education approaches to achieving bridging programs’ learning objectives, including distance learning and other innovative instructional techniques.

Long Term
Integrate bridging educational programs into existing EMS educational programs.

Beth Christy wishes to advance her EMS provider credentials to the next level. She is able to complete coursework in her hometown via teleconferences and computer simulations. Material that was part of her previous educational experience was a prerequisite, and the current coursework is not redundant. At the conclusion of the coursework, she is prepared to begin an internship prior to receiving her new credentials.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS educational programs, NAEMSE, NCSEMSTC.

Contributing:
AAOS, AAP, ACEP, ACS, colleges and universities, ENA, IAFC, IAFF, JRC, NAEMSP, NAEMT, NASEMSD, NFA, NREMT, SAEM.
NATIONAL ACTION:
Ensure that all health professionals have basic knowledge of EMS issues.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Survey other health care professional educational programs to determine the extent to which EMS-related objectives are included.

Intermediate Term
Provide opportunities for all health care professionals to gain EMS exposure, and provide other health care professional educational programs with EMS core content objectives.

Long Term
Incorporate EMS-related core content objectives in the curricula of other health care professional educational programs.

Potential Participants

Lead: AAMC, CAAHEP, NAEMSE.

Contributing: AAP, ACEP, ACS, Allied Health Dean’s Association, ANA, colleges and universities, ENA, JRC, NAEMSP, NAEMT, NAEMSD, NCSEMSTC, NFA, NHTSA, NREMT, SAEM.
NATIONAL AND LOCAL ACTION:
Engage in public education.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Appoint an individual(s) within each EMS agency to be responsible for public education initiatives.

Intermediate Term
Establish, within each EMS agency, a continuous public education program that includes prevention activities, and is distinct from public relations.

Long Term
Include objectives in EMS education core contents related to EMS providers’ roles in public education.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS provider agencies, NHTSA.

Contributing: AAA, AAOS, ACEP, ACS, EMS providers, IAFC, IAFF, JRC, NAEMSP, NAEMT, NAEMSD, NCSEMSTC, NFA, NREMT, SAEM, state EMS lead agencies, USFA.
LOCAL ACTION:
Conduct public education that is relevant and meaningful to the community.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Determine the incidence of relevant conditions and events in the community and the base knowledge of the community members prior to initiating specific public education projects and programs.

Intermediate Term
Continuously evaluate the effects of public education and prevention efforts.

Long Term
Revise and update EMS public education and prevention efforts as indicated by needs assessments and evaluation results, in accordance with contemporary quality improvement models.

Buckley EMS is planning next year’s public education program. As current projects are evaluated, they find that their “buckle up” project has been effective. The proportion of unrestrained occupants in motor vehicle crashes has decreased over the past few years. Since ten community members suffered significant head injuries as a result of bicycle falls during the past year, the EMS agency decides to also target bicycle safety for the upcoming year.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS provider agencies.

Contributing: NHAAP, APHA, ATPM, Children’s Safety Network, Coalition for America’s Children, colleges and universities, EMS-C, EMS medical directors, Farm Safety for Kids, NASEMSD, NFA, Safe Kids Coalition, state EMS lead agencies, STIPDA, USFA.
LOCAL ACTION:
Incorporate innovative techniques and technologies in public education.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Use the media to enhance public education efforts.

Intermediate Term
Convene focus groups at national and community forums to evaluate tools and technologies for achieving EMS public education goals.

Long Term
Incorporate state-of-the-art technology in EMS public education programs.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS provider agencies, media outlets.

Contributing: AAP, ACEP, ACS, AHA, ATS, EMS medical directors, HRSA/MCHB, IAFC, IAff, NAEMSE, NAEMSP, NAEMT, NASEMSD, NHAAP, NHTSA.
NATIONAL AND LOCAL ACTION:
Maintain a prevention-oriented atmosphere at the EMS workplace.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Include topics related to preventing EMS workforce injuries and illnesses during the initial and continuing education of all EMS providers and managers.

Intermediate Term
Maintain workplace programs to help prevent injuries and illnesses among EMS providers.

Long Term
Develop health and safety guidelines for EMS providers.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS medical directors, EMS provider agencies, NHTSA.

Contributing: AAA, continuing education accrediting bodies, IAFC, IAFF, JRC, NAEMSE, NAEMT, National Fire Protection Association, NSC, NIOSH, NREMT, OSHA, USFA.
NATIONAL ACTION:
Incorporate “prevention” into the education of EMS providers.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Adopt “prevention” for both the EMS workforce and community members as a component of the National EMS Education and Practice Blueprint.

Intermediate Term
Include prevention as part of EMS education core contents.

Long Term
Include prevention-related material as part of EMS provider pre-credential examinations.

During Geoff Clarkson’s paramedic education, he learned about the principles of prevention, and he developed an appreciation for the role of prevention activities in the EMS system. Now he readily accepts prevention-oriented objectives as part of his mission as a paramedic. In fact, he is active in planning and evaluating the prevention initiatives, both for the community and the workforce.

Potential Participants

Lead: NCSEMSTC, NHTSA, NREMT.

Contributing: AAA, AAMS, AAOS, AAP, ACEP, ACS, ENA, IAFC, IAFF, JRC, NAEMSE, NAEMSP, NASEMSD, SAEM.
NATIONAL ACTION:
Improve the abilities of personal communication devices to facilitate access to emergency help.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Implement methods to provide emergency calls priority within networks for wireless telephones (e.g., cellular, digital personal communication systems).

Intermediate Term
Incorporate automatic location identification technology in wireless communications systems to route emergency calls made from them directly to the appropriate public safety answering point, and facilitate a prompt response to the correct location.

Long Term
Update public safety answering point technology to automatically receive geographic location data from wireless communications devices.

Potential Participants

Lead: APCO, CTIA, FCC, NENA, NHTSA.

Contributing: Cellular telephone manufacturers and service companies, NAEMD, public safety answering points.
NATIONAL ACTION:
Enhance mobile telephone services as resources for EMS system communication.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Evaluate the ability of wireless telephone (e.g., cellular, digital personal communication systems) companies to prioritize calls made from EMS system wireless telephones.

Intermediate Term
Develop plans for prioritizing emergency calls made from EMS system wireless telephones.

Long Term
Provide area-wide priority for emergency calls made from EMS system wireless telephones.

Potential Participants

Lead: APCO, CTIA.

Contributing: Cellular telephone manufacturers and service companies, FCC, NAEMD, NENA, NHTSA, public safety answering points, state EMS lead agencies, state telecommunications officials.
NATIONAL AND LOCAL ACTION:
Ensure that EMS communications personnel are optimally qualified.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Perform task analyses to determine the desirable attributes for EMS communications personnel.

Intermediate Term
Establish uniform education and credential requirements for EMS communications personnel.

Long Term
Require emergency communications center personnel performing EMS-related tasks to acquire appropriate credentials.

City EMS officials, through quality improvement procedures, identify several concerns regarding their communications center. As part of their approach to improvement, they analyze the tasks required of their personnel. They subsequently develop a process for issuing credentials. They also ensure that all EMS communications personnel obtain the necessary credentials by that process. In part due to City's success, the state adopts similar requirements for all of its EMS communication centers.

Potential Participants

Lead: APCO, NAEMD.

Contributing: EMS communications centers, EMS medical directors, emergency medical dispatchers, EMS provider agencies, IAFC, IAFF, NAEMSP, NASEMSD, NHTSA, state EMS lead agencies.
NATIONAL ACTION:
Eliminate barriers to accessing EMS.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Identify the potential barriers to accessing EMS.

Intermediate Term
Establish a universal telephone symbol, that can also be identified by people with impaired vision, and evaluate other technologies to facilitate access to EMS.

Long Term
Improve access to EMS for all community members, including children, the elderly and people with special needs.

Potential Participants

Lead: APCO, EMS communications centers, EMS provider agencies, FCC, NENA.

Contributing: AAHP, community organizations, EMS medical directors, HIAA, health care networks, HRSA/MCHB, medical societies, NAEMD, NAEMSP, public utility commissions, telecommunications companies.
NATIONAL AND LOCAL ACTION:
Provide an EMS response that is appropriate for the need.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Evaluate the ability of public safety answering points to reliably determine the appropriate level of response needed for EMS calls.

Intermediate Term
Create communication networks that link EMS and other health resources to facilitate the appropriate transfer of calls for EMS to those resources in specific circumstances.

Long Term
Conduct epidemiological and outcomes research to guide efforts to match health resource allocations to EMS callers’ needs.

Potential Participants

Lead: Emergency communication centers, EMS researchers.

Contributing: AAA, ACEP, APCO, EMS dispatchers, EMS medical directors, EMS provider agencies, health care insurers, health care networks, NAEMD, NAEMSE, NAEMSP, poison control centers, public health agencies, social service agencies.
NATIONAL AND LOCAL ACTION:
Establish communication links for exchanging appropriate patient information.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Convene a multidisciplinary conference to explore confidentiality issues, and develop guidelines for sharing information between EMS and other health care providers.

Intermediate Term
Establish communication links with EMS communications centers and community health care providers and health data sources, in order to facilitate appropriate caller transfers and information exchange, and decrease health care networks’ motivation to create their own 9-1-1 alternatives.

Long Term
Establish communication links between EMS and health care networks, and develop protocols for sharing information about patients with special needs, facilitating appropriate patient follow-up.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS communications centers, EMS provider agencies, health care networks.

Contributing:
AAHP, AHA, APCO, EMS medical directors, hospitals, NAC, NAEMD, NLC, public health services, social service agencies, state EMS lead agencies.
NATIONAL AND STATE ACTION:
Commit to a definition of essential EMS capacity.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Develop a blueprint to describe the functional attributes of community EMS systems with various levels of sophistication and clinical capabilities.

Intermediate Term
Develop consensus regarding the attributes of essential community EMS capacity.

Long Term
Ensure that all communities have essential EMS capacity available to them.

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) and the National Association of State EMS Directors (NASEMSD) collaborate to convene a series of conferences to develop EMS capacity definitions and guidelines. The guidelines are then used as benchmarks. State EMS lead agencies use the guidelines to help develop local EMS systems, and to determine appropriate resource allocation.

Potential Participants

Lead: NAEMSP, NASEMSD, NHTSA, state EMS lead agencies.

Contributing: AAA, AAOS, AAP, ACEP, ACS, ASTM, ENA, FEMA, HRSA, IAFC, IAFF, NAC, NAEMSE, NAEMT, NAFR, NCSEMSTC, NLC, NREMT, NRHA, SAEM, Wilderness Medicine Society.
NATIONAL AND LOCAL ACTION:
Evaluate EMS care and technology.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Evaluate new roles, techniques, and technology before their widespread deployment by EMS.

Intermediate Term
Evaluate the appropriateness and effectiveness of new or expanded EMS care before it is implemented on a routine basis.

Long Term
Continue to evaluate the costs and effects of new or expanded EMS care and technology.

Birmington EMS is contemplating strategic placement of automatic external defibrillators (AED) throughout its community. Before doing so, they carefully evaluate the likely influence the AEDs will have on survival. They consider the likelihood of the availability of a person able to operate the equipment and the current system's performance, among other demographic factors. This evaluation enables them to make the appropriate decisions regarding the types, numbers and locations of the AEDs to be deployed.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS medical directors, EMS provider agencies.

Contributing: AAA, ACEP, AHA, EMS researchers, ENA, FDA, IAFC, medical device manufacturers, NAEMSE, NAEMSP, NAEMT, NASEMSD, NCSEMSTC, research funding organizations, SAEM.
LOCAL ACTION: Use evaluation of multiple conditions and outcome categories to improve EMS quality.

OBJECTIVES:

Short Term
Implement continuous evaluation processes as part of EMS quality improvement efforts.

Intermediate Term
Evaluate EMS using multiple conditions and outcome categories, including death, disease, disability, discomfort, dissatisfaction and destitution.

Long Term
Update evaluation processes as new models are developed, and use evaluation results to improve EMS quality.

Potential Participants

Lead: EMS medical directors, EMS provider agencies

Contributing: AAA, AAMS, ACEP, IAFC, IAFF, NAEMSP, NASEMSD, NAEMSQP, state EMS lead agencies.

Northport EMS develops an evaluation plan that is updated yearly. The plan uses models provided by the state EMS lead agency, and input from the community and area health care providers. The results of this continuous evaluation are used to determine EMS value to the community, and to make system improvements.